

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,716	12/18/2001	Ann Kerstin Birgitta Kjellqvist	ACO2844 US	2119
7590 06/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Joan M. McGillycuddy AKZO NOBEL INC.			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P	
7 Livingstone Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/022,716	KJELLQVIST ET AL.
-	Examiner	Art Unit
	William P. Fletcher III	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		,
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
	((3)( 1 10°1443) 1 aper 140(3)	<del></del> -
10. Other:		William Phillip Fletcher III Patent Examiner, USPTO Group Art Unit 1762

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1, if amended as-proposed, would recite a reconstituted wood product. The examiner has, heretofore, considered neither this limitation or a claim of this scope. Consequently, entry of applicant's proposed amendment would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are drawn to the claims if amended as-proposed. As noted above, the proposed amendment will not be entered. Consequently applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

WPF 6/8/2004

SHRIVE P. BECK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700